


Chapter One

Collaborative Pedagogies in the Digital Age: Contextualising COIL and VCL

Valerij Dermol

International School of Social and Business Studies, Slovenia
valerij.dermol@mfdps.si

 © 2025 Valerij Dermol
<https://doi.org/10.53615/978-83-65020-54-3/11-21>

Introduction

In an increasingly interconnected and digital world, the landscape of higher education is undergoing a significant transformation. Traditional boundaries of time, space, and culture are being reshaped by the integration of technology into pedagogical practices, leading to innovative models of teaching and learning. Among these emerging models, Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL) and Virtual Collaborative Learning (VCL) have gained prominence as dynamic approaches that leverage digital tools to foster collaboration, intercultural exchange, and active learning.

Both COIL and VCL reflect a growing need to prepare students not only with disciplinary knowledge but also with the global competencies and soft skills essential for participation in an internationalised workforce. These pedagogies promote collaboration across geographical and cultural boundaries, enhance learner engagement, and provide flexible access to education through digital platforms. At the same time, they offer distinct yet complementary frameworks: while COIL is firmly rooted in the ethos of internationalisation at home and focuses on intercultural learning, VCL encompasses a broader array of digitally mediated collaborative experiences that are not necessarily bound by international contexts.

This chapter explores the definitions, key features, benefits, and limitations of COIL and VCL, providing a comparative analysis that highlights their unique contributions to 21st-century education. Drawing

from a range of scholarly sources, the discussion offers insights into how these methodologies can be effectively implemented to enhance both academic outcomes and global engagement among learners. In doing so, it contributes to a deeper understanding of how digital collaboration is reshaping pedagogical practices in higher education.

Collaborative Online International Learning

Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL) is a pedagogical approach that facilitates collaborative educational experiences between students from different geographical and cultural backgrounds using online platforms. This framework is grounded in the broader concept of Internationalisation at Home (IaH), which seeks to incorporate global perspectives into domestic curricula without necessitating physical mobility for students. As noted by Wimpenny et al., COIL enables the co-development of tasks and learning objectives by educators from diverse institutions, allowing students to engage in joint academic activities that promote intercultural understanding and global citizenship (Wimpenny et al., 2024).

The essence of COIL lies in its capacity to create an interactive online space where students can work collaboratively across borders. Studies affirm that COIL is instrumental in fostering intercultural communication skills and cultural fluency among students, enriching their learning experiences by exposing them to different cultural viewpoints and collaborative processes (Appiah-Kubi & Annan, 2020; Anderson, 2022). Furthermore, it equips students with critical soft skills necessary for thriving in a globalised workforce, including teamwork, adaptability, and problem-solving (Swartz et al., 2020; Júnior & Finardi, 2018).

A distinctive characteristic of COIL is its emphasis on leveraging technology to bridge educational divides, allowing for meaningful interactions that may not be possible in traditional classroom settings. This approach not only enhances students' academic competencies but also addresses issues of accessibility and inclusion in higher education by providing opportunities for students who might be unable to participate in conventional international exchanges due to financial or logistical constraints (Durand & Balhasan, 2023; Guimarães et al., 2019). Additionally, the flexibility of online learning environments allows students to engage in collaborative projects that facilitate a deeper understanding of global issues, further contributing to their personal and professional development (Cotoman et al., 2021).

Collaborative Online International Learning serves as an innovative educational strategy designed to enrich the academic experience through collaborative and cross-cultural engagement. By integrating diverse knowledge systems and fostering mutual respect among students from various backgrounds, COIL stands as a vital component of modern education aimed at equipping students for a globally interconnected world.

Virtual Collaborative Learning

Virtual Collaborative Learning (VCL) is a contemporary pedagogical approach that integrates technology to enhance collaborative learning experiences among students dispersed across various geographical locations. It is built on constructivist learning paradigms, leveraging tools typically associated with Web 2.0, which extend beyond traditional e-learning frameworks. As described by Tawileh et al. (2014), VCL utilises such technologies to create interactive learning environments that engage students in a cooperative learning process, facilitating knowledge sharing and social interaction.

In VCL, participants typically engage in collaborative tasks through multi-user virtual environments (MUVES), which ensure immersive interaction and communication capabilities. Ibáñez et al. highlight that these environments enable students to engage actively in team-based projects, enhancing their learning experiences through shared goals and collective problem-solving (Ibáñez et al., 2013). The design and facilitation of activities within these virtual spaces are crucial, as effective collaboration requires careful planning and support to ensure high levels of engagement and interaction among participants (Ibáñez et al., 2013; Schmeil et al., 2012).

Furthermore, VCL includes elements such as avatars, providing a visual representation of users, which enhances social presence in virtual settings. This is particularly valuable in facilitating interactions that may not be as effective in traditional online formats. Schmeil et al. (2012) emphasise a structured approach to designing these collaborative experiences, focusing on the unique attributes of virtual worlds that can be harnessed for educational purposes. This aspect is further supported by research from Ibáñez et al., who note that successful collaborative encounters in MUVES rely heavily on well-designed learning activities that promote teamwork and effective communication (Ibáñez et al., 2013; Schmeil et al., 2012).

While virtual collaborative learning presents many benefits, such as fostering global connections and enhancing engagement among remote learners, it also poses challenges, particularly in the realms of technological accessibility and the need for robust facilitation skills. Paulsen et al. suggest that pedagogical strategies must evolve to meet the demands of immersive virtual reality environments, ensuring that they remain conducive to collaborative learning objectives (Paulsen et al., 2024).

VCL represents an innovative approach to education that capitalises on advanced technologies to foster collaborative learning across boundaries. It is characterised by a reliance on immersive environments, collaborative activities tailored to virtual platforms, and the integration of social interaction tools that enrich the learning experience for participants.

Contrasting COIL and VCL: Scope, Objectives, and Implementation

Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL) and Virtual Collaborative Learning (VCL) represent two distinct yet interconnected approaches to facilitating learning through collaboration in digital environments. While both pedagogies emphasise collaboration and technology, they differ significantly in scope, goals, and context.

COIL specifically targets international collaboration by connecting students from different countries through technology, thereby fostering intercultural communication and global citizenship. The primary aim of COIL is to enhance students' cultural competencies and prepare them for a globalised world by providing authentic international learning experiences without requiring physical travel (Niitsu et al., 2022; Appiah-Kubi & Annan, 2020; Júnior & Finardi, 2018). According to Byker et al., COIL engages students across international boundaries, emphasising project-based learning in culturally diverse settings, which contextualises academic content within a global framework (Byker et al., 2023).

In contrast, VCL serves as a broader category that encompasses collaborative learning across virtual spaces, which may not be tied specifically to international settings. This includes various forms of online collaborative activities that can occur within the same institution or among learners from different geographical backgrounds. The focus of VCL is on enhancing learning through collaborative technology use, regardless of the participants' locations or their cultural backgrounds.

(Häkkinen & Hämäläinen, 2012; Darwaish & Wang, 2012). As described by Darwaish and Wang (2012), VCL environments are designed to enhance students' collaborative skills and teamwork through the use of diverse technological tools that support communication and interaction.

Both COIL and VCL leverage advancements in technology to facilitate learning. COIL uses digital platforms to create cross-cultural exchanges that nurture interpersonal skills and encourage students to share perspectives from distinct cultural backgrounds, contributing to their academic and personal growth (Niitsu et al., 2022; Marcillo-Gómez & Desilus, 2016). VCL, on the other hand, emphasizes the collaborative capacity of virtual learning environments (VLES) to enhance learning outcomes through teamwork and shared experiences, focusing on the mechanics of collaboration regardless of the participants' cultural diversity (Ibáñez et al., 2013; Darwaish & Wang, 2012; Song & Elftman, 2024).

Research has shown that both methodologies foster critical thinking and engagement among participants. For instance, studies indicate that effective emotional interaction significantly enhances student engagement in online collaborative learning, which is applicable to both VCL and COIL contexts (Wang et al., 2024). However, while COIL explicitly promotes cultural understanding, VCL typically may not prioritise this aspect unless it is intentionally integrated into the design of the learning activities.

The challenges posed by each approach also differ slightly. COIL initiatives may encounter logistical barriers such as time zone differences and varying academic expectations, as mentioned by Appiah-Kubi and Annan (2020). VCL, while similarly facing challenges such as inadequate communication and collaboration tools, can often mitigate these issues through the flexibility of synchronous or asynchronous collaborative frameworks that allow for diverse group compositions (Elenurm, 2022; Darwaish & Wang, 2012).

While both Collaborative Online International Learning and Virtual Collaborative Learning prioritise collaboration and technology in learning, COIL is expressly designed to foster internationalisation and cultural fluency through global connections, whereas VCL emphasises a broader application of collaborative practices across varied virtual environments. Understanding the distinctions between these two approaches enables educators to better implement strategies that align with their pedagogical goals and the learning needs of their students.

Benefits and Drawbacks of Virtual Collaborative Learning

Virtual Collaborative Learning (VCL) has garnered attention as a viable approach for enhancing educational outcomes in various academic disciplines through digital collaboration. This method has both positive and negative consequences that can significantly impact the overall learning experience.

Benefits of VCL

Enhanced Engagement and Motivation: VCL has been shown to increase student engagement, allowing learners to take ownership of their learning processes. Studies indicate that students often exhibit stronger motivation and accountability in virtual settings compared to traditional face-to-face environments Song & Elftman, 2024; Breen, 2013). For instance, Campbell et al. found that peer-to-peer learning strategies can foster connectedness and positive learning experiences in virtual environments, particularly within collaborative contexts like environmental management (Campbell et al., 2024).

Development of Collaborative Skills: One of the key benefits of VCL is the cultivation of collaborative skills among students. By working together in virtual teams, students can learn to leverage each other's strengths, enhancing their problem-solving and critical thinking abilities (Breen, 2013; Dincă et al., 2023). The ability to navigate team dynamics and collaborate effectively with peers from diverse backgrounds is crucial for success in today's interconnected world (Li et al., 2022).

Flexibility and Accessibility: VCL provides flexibility in terms of when and where students can engage in collaborative learning. This can be particularly beneficial for those who may face logistical challenges in attending in-person classes, as it allows for greater inclusivity (Ignacio et al., 2022; Pei & Wu, 2019).

Facilitated Intercultural Interaction: VCL can create opportunities for students to interact with peers from different cultural backgrounds, fostering global awareness and intercultural competence (Herriott & McNulty, 2022). This aspect is especially important in higher education, where understanding diverse perspectives is pivotal for future careers.

Drawbacks of VCL

Reduced Collaboration and Communication Challenges: Despite the benefits, VCL can lead to significant challenges in collaboration due to

the limitations inherent in virtual communication. Issues such as misinterpretation of messages, a lack of non-verbal cues, and technological difficulties (e.g., unstable internet connections) can hinder effective collaboration among participants (Herriott & McNulty, 2022; Andrews & Rapp, 2015) and possibly lead to decreased collaboration quality (Breen, 2013).

Technical Barriers: Not all students may have equal access to the technology necessary for effective virtual collaboration. For those who are not technologically savvy, the initial learning curve can be daunting, potentially limiting their ability to fully participate in VCL (Ignacio et al., 2022). Some students may struggle with engagement if they find the technology overwhelming or confusing (Pei & Wu, 2019).

Dependence on Group Dynamics: The success of VCL is often contingent upon positive group dynamics. When team members do not contribute equally, it can result in frustration and reduced motivation among more committed participants (Andrews & Rapp, 2015; Jackson et al., 2018). Such dynamics can undermine the collaborative spirit intended in VCL activities.

Potential for Isolation: While VCL offers opportunities for interaction, it may also lead to feelings of isolation for some students, especially those who experience difficulties in establishing rapport with peers or encounter technical issues (Herriott & McNulty, 2022). Emotional interactions are critical in collaborative settings, and the absence of face-to-face contact may affect students' engagement and overall learning experience (Wang et al., 2024).

Virtual Collaborative Learning presents a dual-edged sword of enriching educational practices with significant benefits while also introducing challenges that must be addressed to maximise its effectiveness. Educators and institutions can enhance the positive aspects while developing strategies to mitigate the negatives, ensuring a more inclusive and productive learning environment.

Conclusion: Advancing Digital Collaboration in Higher Education

This analysis has explored the distinct yet complementary pedagogical models of Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL) and Virtual Collaborative Learning (VCL), highlighting their roles in shaping modern, technology-enhanced education. Both approaches offer dynamic opportunities for student engagement, intercultural exchange,

and the development of key 21st-century competencies such as teamwork, communication, and adaptability. COIL emphasises internationalisation at home and cultural awareness through cross-border collaboration, while VCL provides a broader framework for virtual teamwork, often within immersive and interactive learning environments.

The implications of integrating COIL and VCL into higher education are significant. These pedagogies contribute to widening access to international and collaborative learning experiences, reducing dependency on physical mobility, and addressing issues of equity and inclusion. Educators present valuable strategies to foster global citizenship and digital fluency, aligning curricula with the needs of an increasingly interconnected world. Institutional implementation of these models can support strategic goals related to internationalisation, innovation, and student engagement.

However, the successful adoption of COIL and VCL is not without limitations. Both approaches face challenges related to technological infrastructure, unequal access to digital tools, time zone coordination, and the need for strong facilitation skills. Furthermore, group dynamics and emotional engagement remain critical factors that can affect the quality and inclusiveness of virtual collaboration. While COIL brings a strong intercultural component, its outcomes depend heavily on intentional instructional design. Similarly, the effectiveness of VCL may be constrained when collaborative tasks are not well-aligned with learners' contexts or when digital platforms fail to fully support social interaction.

We can conclude that COIL and VCL represent powerful and evolving pedagogical responses to the demands of globalised education. By leveraging digital collaboration, these models offer pathways to more inclusive, flexible, and culturally enriched learning environments. Future research and practice should continue to explore how these approaches can be refined, scaled, and integrated into diverse educational settings while addressing the practical and pedagogical limitations that accompany their use.

References

- Anderson, A. (2022). Reflecting on training to facilitate collaborative online international learning courses. *Journal of Scholarly Engagement*, 5(2), 6–13. <https://doi.org/10.9743/jse.2022.5.2.2>

- Andrews, J., & Rapp, D. (2015). Benefits, costs, and challenges of collaboration for learning and memory. *Translational Issues in Psychological Science*, 1(2), 182–191. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tps0000025>
- Appiah-Kubi, P., & Annan, E. (2020). A review of a collaborative online international learning. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 10(1), 109. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v10i1.11678>
- Breen, H. (2013). Virtual collaboration in the online educational setting: A concept analysis. *Nursing Forum*, 48(4), 262–270. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nuf.12034>
- Byker, E., Ade-Thurrow, B., Martin, F., & Sadula, M. (2023). Engaging in an online odyssey: Globally networked learning among undergraduates in Germany and the U.S. *Journal of Virtual Exchange*, 6, 24–34. <https://doi.org/10.21827/jve.6.40521>
- Campbell, J., Shaul, K., Slagle, K., & Sović, D. (2024). Sustainable community development through peer-to-peer learning in the online and in-person classroom. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 25(8), 1803–1819. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijhshe-07-2023-0321>
- Cotoman, V., Davies, A., Kawagoe, N., Niihashi, H., Rahman, A., Tomita, Y., Watanabe, A., & Rösch, F. (2021). Un(COIL)ing the pandemic: Active and affective learning in times of COVID-19. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 55(1), 188–192. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1049096521001050>
- Darwaish, M., & Wang, F. (2012). Investigation and prototype design of collaborative virtual learning environments. In *2012 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conferences on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology* (pp. 620–622). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/wi-iat.2012.176>
- Dincă, M., Luștrea, A., Crașovan, M., Onițiu, A., & Berge, T. (2023). Students' perspectives on team dynamics in project-based virtual learning. *Sage Open*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221147269>
- Durand, H., & Balhasan, S. (2023). An example of using collaborative online international learning for petroleum and chemical engineering undergraduate courses. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 24(3), 225–233. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v24i3.7227>
- Elenurm, T. (2022). Choosing collaborative learning options for preparing innovative entrepreneurs. *European Conference on Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 17(1), 206–213. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ecie.17.1.379>
- Guimarães, F., Mendes, A., Rodrigues, L., Paiva, R., & Finardi, K. (2019). Internationalization at home, COIL and intercomprehension. *SFU Educational Review*, 12(3), 90–109. <https://doi.org/10.21810/sfuer.v12i3.1019>

- Häkkinen, P., & Hämäläinen, R. (2012). Shared and personal learning spaces: Challenges for pedagogical design. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 15(4), 231–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2011.09.001>
- Herriott, H., & McNulty, M. (2022). Virtual learning impacts communication and teamwork. *The Clinical Teacher*, 19(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.13514>
- Ibáñez, M., Rueda, J., Maroto, D., & Kloos, C. (2013). Collaborative learning in multi-user virtual environments. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 36(6), 1566–1576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2012.12.027>
- Ignacio, J., Chen, H., & Roy, T. (2022). Advantages and challenges of fostering cognitive integration through virtual collaborative learning: A qualitative study. *BMC Nursing*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-01026-6>
- Jackson, S., Bilich, L., & Skuza, N. (2018). The benefits and challenges of collaborative learning: Educating dental and dental hygiene students together. *Journal of Dental Education*, 82(12), 1279–1286. <https://doi.org/10.21815/jde.018.134>
- Júnior, C., & Finardi, K. (2018). Internationalization and virtual collaboration: Insights from COIL experiences. *Ensino Em Foco*, 1(2), 19–33. <https://doi.org/10.55847/ef.vii2.519>
- Li, Y., Cheung, C., Shen, X., & Lee, M. (2022). Promoting collaborative learning in virtual worlds: The power of ‘we.’ *Information Technology & People*, 36(6), 2563–2586. <https://doi.org/10.1108/itp-11-2021-0870>
- Marcillo-Gómez, M., & Desilus, B. (2016). Collaborative online international learning experience in practice: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, 11(1), 30–35. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-27242016000100005>
- Niitsu, K., Kondo, A., Hua, J., & Dyba, N. (2022). A case report of collaborative online international learning in nursing and health studies between the United States and Japan. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 44(3), 196–197. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.nep.0000000000000974>
- Paulsen, L., Dau, S., & Davidsen, J. (2024). Designing for collaborative learning in immersive virtual reality: A systematic literature review. *Virtual Reality*, 28(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-024-00975-4>
- Pei, L., & Wu, H. (2019). Does online learning work better than offline learning in undergraduate medical education? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medical Education Online*, 24(1), 1666538. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2019.1666538>
- Schmeil, A., Eppler, M., & Freitas, S. (2012). A structured approach for designing collaboration experiences for virtual worlds. *Journal of the As-*

- sociation for Information Systems*, 13(10), 836–860. <https://doi.org/10.17705/ijais.00309>
- Song, X., & Elftman, M. (2024). Beyond collaborative learning: A comparison of small groups in face-to-face and online settings. *Medical Science Educator*, 34(2), 379–385. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-024-01983-4>
- Swartz, S., Barbosa, B., Crawford, I., & Luck, S. (2020). Professional learning through collaborative online international learning. In *EDULEARN20 Proceedings* (pp. 3564–3571). IATED. <https://doi.org/10.21125/edulearn.2020.0997>
- Tawileh, W., Bukvova, H., & Schoop, E. (2014). Virtual collaborative learning. In *Handbook of Research on Learning Outcomes and Opportunities in the Digital Age* (pp. 620–642). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-5942-1.ch031>
- Wang, W., Wang, X., Li, S., Ma, T., Liu, M., & Sun, H. (2024). The relationship between emotional interaction and learning engagement in online collaborative learning: Moderated mediating effect. *Psychology in the Schools*, 61(4), 1549–1564. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.23125>
- Wimpenny, K., Jacobs, L., Dawson, M., & Hagenmeier, C. (2024). The potential of collaborative online international learning as a border thinking third space for global citizenship education. *International Journal of Development Education and Global Learning*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.14324/ijdegl.16.1.03>