Accelerated Innovation (AI): Sustainability for Better Humanity
21–24 May 2025
Bangkok, Thailand
make learn
TIIM
PIConf
Management, Knowledge and Learning
International Conference
Technology, Innovation and Industrial Management
Sustainable Development

Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Zambian Community Forest Management: Challenges and Opportunities for Integration

Vincent Ziba
International School for Social and Business Studies University in Celje, Slovenia
vincent.ziba@fao.org

Aviva Klieger
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
avivak888@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the integration of Indigenous knowledge which refers to the accumulated knowledge, skills, and practices developed by indigenous communities over generations, in Zambia's community forestry management framework between 2000 and 2023. The Zambia Forest Act No. 4 of 2015 introduced policies to support community forestry Despite Zambia's extensive forest coverage, which provides essential subsistence resources, employment, and environmental benefits, the country faces significant deforestation challenges, losing approximately 270,000 hectares annually.

While forest-based management systems have existed since pre-colonial times, current approaches prioritize scientific methods over indigenous knowledge systems, potentially limiting management effectiveness. Through systematic literature review and community interviews with the Nsenga speaking people of Nyimba District in Eastern Zambia, this research investigates factors influencing forest management outcomes and analyzes the integration of indigenous knowledge in forestry policies. The study identifies specific traditional forest management practices of the Nsenga people, assesses their current application in community forest management, and examines methods for preserving and integrating this knowledge with scientific approaches. The study will review the community forest management framework to assess its current effectives and identify areas of improvement through indigenous knowledge and practices. Given the increasing loss of traditional knowledge, the study also investigates sustainable methods for preserving and applying this knowledge in future forest management practices. This research contributes to understanding indigenous knowledge systems in formal and informal contexts, generating valuable insights for forest management strategies in Zambia.

Keywords: Indigenous knowledge, Nsenga speaking people, community forestry, forest management, knowledge integration, Zambia forest policy

INTRODUCTION

Forest management has gained increasing global attention due to its critical role in addressing environmental challenges such as climate change and biodiversity losses (FAO, 2024). The FAO 2024 State of the World's Forest report projects a significant rise in the demand for forest products by 2055, highlighting the urgent need for innovative forest management systems to ensure forest sector sustainability through enhanced knowledge and capabilities.

In Zambia, the forest sector contributes approximately 5.2% to the national Gross Domestic Product (GDP), as outlined in the Zambia National Forest Policy (2014). The country's forests cover 66% of its area, with around 85% of this land under customary use. However, Zambia faces significant environmental challenges, with the ILUA (2016) report documenting an alarming deforestation rate of 250,000 to 300,000 hectares annually, threatening biodiversity and essential ecosystem services. This deforestation poses a significant threat to biodiversity and ecosystem services, highlighting the critical need for effective forest management.

To address these challenges, the Zambian government introduced the 2015 Forest Act number 4, which promotes community forestry as a strategy for enhancing local participation in forest management. Community forest management in Zambia has traditionally relied on scientific practices and rich indigenous knowledge systems. In Nsenga-speaking communities, for instance, centuries-old practices and cultural norms have guided sustainable forest resource use. However, formal forestry policies often overlook these practices, leading to a management gap that could undermine long-term conservation efforts

Recent research highlights both the challenges and opportunities in bridging this gap. Yanou et al. (2024) documented the concerning loss of indigenous knowledge in Zambia's natural resource management, particularly in Kalomo district. Their study, conducted across three villages, reveals that external scientific knowledge has gradually replaced traditional practices, which were historically effective in managing forest resources.

This "hybridisation of knowledge" often leads to abandoning valuable traditional local practices, especially in communities facing socio-economic challenges. Similarly, Uyttewaal et al. (2024) provide compelling evidence of the potential benefits of knowledge integration through their study of fire management in Spain. Their research, involving 58 participants with specialised local knowledge, showed how combining local ecological knowledge with modern management strategies could effectively address increasing wildfire risks driven by climate change and land use modifications. Their success in developing integrated fire management frameworks offers valuable insights for similar initiatives in other contexts.

This research explores the integration of indigenous knowledge with contemporary forest management practices. It aims to address the following issues:

1. How can indigenous practices be effectively incorporated into formal policy frameworks?
2. What barriers prevent the successful integration of traditional and modern forest management approaches?
3. What benefits might arise from a more inclusive, integrated approach to forest management?

The significance of this research lies in its potential to provide evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, contribute to the broader discourse on sustainable resource management, and empower local communities by recognizing their traditional expertise. This research aims to develop more effective, culturally appropriate, and sustainable approaches to forest conservation in Zambia by bridging the gap between indigenous knowledge systems and formal forest management practices.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study's theoretical framework is grounded in three interconnected approaches: Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), Community Forestry Management, and Community-Based Natural Resource Management (CBNRM). These approaches provide complementary perspectives on sustainable resource management in Zambia, particularly in the context of forest conservation and community development.

Indigenous Knowledge (IK) represents the many place-based knowledge accumulated across generations within myriad specific cultural contexts (Tyler et al., 2021). IKS in Zambia comprises a comprehensive body of knowledge, including beliefs, traditions, practices, language, and skills developed over centuries that have been instrumental in the survival and well-being of local communities, including sustainable forest management. This knowledge is very important to consider in the development of forest management policies and regulations that involve the local communities.

Community-Based Natural Resource Management (CBNRM), as defined by Child and Barnes (2010), is a rigorous process of institutional reforms that combines the devolution and delineation of property rights with collective actions by rural communities. This approach aims to improve the value and sustainability of wild resources by empowering local communities to manage their environments. CBNRM emerged in response to the failures of state-controlled natural resource management, which often led to resource degradation and loss.

Community Forestry refers to forest management that provides local community benefits with a degree of responsibility and control of the forest management formally vested to the local community (Charnley & Poe, 2007).

These three frameworks—IKS, CBNRM, and Community Forestry—align in recognising the value of local knowledge and the necessity of empowering communities to take responsibility for managing their natural resources. However, despite their proven efficacy, these frameworks are often overlooked in formal forestry policies, based on predominantly on scientific forest management practices as outlined in Zambia's laws and regulations.

By combining these frameworks, this study will explore how indigenous methods can be synergized with contemporary practices to foster more resilient and sustainable forest management systems in Zambia.

RESEARCH GOALS AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

This research aims to evaluate the current state of community forest management in selected Nsenga-speaking regions of Zambia, identify key Indigenous practices that contribute to sustainable forest use, analyse the challenges and opportunities in integrating Indigenous knowledge into formal forestry

policies, and recommend actionable strategies to promote policy reforms that support Indigenous knowledge systems.

Research Questions:

1. What traditional forest management practices are employed by Nsenga-speaking communities, and how these practices evolved over time?

2. How effective are current formal forestry policies incorporating indigenous knowledge and practices?

3. What are the primary cultural, political, or institutional barriers to integrated indigenous knowledge and practices with scientific forestry practices?

4**.** How can policy frameworks be adapted to support community-led forest management better?

METHODOLOGY

This research employs a mixed methods design with a dominant qualitative focus. This approach is selected to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the research questions and to capture the lived experiences of community members regarding indigenous knowledge and its integration into formal forest management policies. The mixed-methods design allows for data triangulation, thereby ensuring the validity and reliability of findings.

Data Collection

- Literature Review-The study encompasses a systematic review of academic articles, policy documents (e.g., Zambia Forest Act No. 4 of 2015), and case studies on Indigenous knowledge in forest management.
- Fieldwork comprises semi-structured interviews with community elders, local forest managers, and policymakers to capture diverse perspectives on Indigenous practices and policy challenges.
- Focus group discussions will engage community members to discuss collective experiences and perspectives on forest management.
- Participant Observation: When feasible, participant observation will document community forest management practices.

Data Analysis

The research utilises thematic analysis, wherein qualitative data will be coded and analysed to identify recurring themes related to Indigenous practices, integration challenges, and potential synergies with formal policies.

Comparative policy analysis will examine current forestry policies alongside observed indigenous practices to highlight gaps and opportunities for integration.

Ethical Considerations

This research will ensure that ethical standards are adhered to throughout the process. The following ethical guidelines will be followed:

- Informed Consent: All participants will be fully informed of the research aims, methods, and potential impact and asked to provide their consent before participating in the study.
- Confidentiality: The anonymity of participants will be respected, and all data will be kept confidential.
- Cultural Sensitivity: Given the cultural context, the research will ensure that the community's values and norms are respected throughout the process.
- Engagement with Community Leaders: Community leaders will be consulted to validate the research approach and findings, ensuring that the study is conducted in a culturally appropriate manner

RESULTS

Given that this study is currently at the proposal stage, the expected results will primarily focus on identifying key themes and gaps, offering insights into integrating Indigenous knowledge with formal forest management policies in Zambia. The following are the anticipated outcomes of this research:

- Indigenous Knowledge Systems Mapping: The study expects to document specific traditional forest management techniques within Nsenga-speaking communities. This documentation will include traditional practices for sustainable resource use, cultural norms governing forest access, and indigenous forest conservation methods. The results will aim to showcase the relevance and effectiveness of Indigenous practices in modern forest management
- Policy-Practice Gap Analysis: The study will provide clear evidence of the discrepancies between formal forestry policies and the indigenous practices currently employed in community forest management. The research will identify where existing policies fail to incorporate or recognise Indigenous knowledge, thereby highlighting institutional barriers that hinder the integration of traditional ecological knowledge into formal frameworks. This analysis will be critical in identifying areas for policy improvement and bridging the gap between traditional practices and modern forest management strategies
- Barriers to Knowledge Integration: Qualitative insights gathered from community elders, local forest managers, and policymakers will offer an in-depth understanding of the cultural, political, and institutional barriers that impede the successful integration of indigenous knowledge with scientific forest management practices. These findings will help to elucidate the social dynamics, power structures, and institutional challenges that must be addressed to promote a more inclusive approach to forest management.
- Strategic Recommendations: Actionable recommendations will be provided to guide policymakers in promoting the inclusion of Indigenous knowledge in Zambia's forestry policies. These may include strategies for enhancing community-led monitoring, adaptive co-management frameworks, capacity-building initiatives, and creating platforms for collaboration between Indigenous communities and formal forestry institutions.

Preliminary findings from initial literature reviews suggest a strong potential for synergistic approaches that respect and integrate indigenous wisdom with modern conservation strategies.

DISCUSSION

The discussion will focus on interpreting the expected results in relation to the broader literature on sustainable forest management and indigenous knowledge integration into formal policy frameworks. As Tyler et al. (2021) highlighted, Indigenous knowledge represents place-based understanding accumulated across generations within specific cultural contexts. This aligns with our examination of Nsenga-speaking communities' forest management practices and their potential integration into formal policy frameworks. The research findings will be analysed in the context of recent studies demonstrating both challenges and opportunities in knowledge integration. For instance, Yanou et al.'s (2024) documentation of indigenous knowledge loss in Kalomo district provides crucial context for understanding the urgency of policy reform.

Key points to be discussed include:

- Implications for Policy Reform: The study will be examined through Community-Based Natural Resource Management (CBNRM) lens, building on Child et al.'s (2010) definition of institutional reforms that combine property rights devolution with collective community actions. This includes discussing how current policy frameworks fail to recognise traditional forest management practices and how these can be integrated to create more inclusive and sustainable policies. The potential for revising Zambia's forestry laws to include indigenous knowledge as a legitimate part of resource management will be explored.
- Benefits of Integration: Environmental, social, and economic benefits will be examined through the community forestry framework described by Charnley et al. (2007), emphasising local community benefits and control in forest management.
- Limitations:
  The discussion will acknowledge potential limitations of the study, including regional specificity and challenges in generalising results across diverse cultural contexts. These limitations will be considered in light of Priebe et al.'s (2023) work on integrating knowledge dimensions in forest and climate change contexts, providing a framework for understanding the complexities of knowledge integration across different settings.
- Future Research Directions: Future research directions will be suggested, including longitudinal research to assess the long-term impact of integrated management practices and comparative studies across different regions.

In conclusion, this proposal aims to contribute to a more sustainable and inclusive forest management modeling in Zambia by validating and integrating Indigenous practices within the formal policy framework. The research also aims to empower local communities, promote environmental conservation, and enhance policy development, ultimately contributing to more effective and resilient forest management in Zambia.

The study will develop strategic recommendations for policymakers to bridge the gap between indigenous practices and formal forest management. These may include community-led monitoring, adaptive co-management frameworks and capacity-building initiatives.

REFERENCES

Charnley, S., & Poe, M. R. (2007). Community forestry in theory and practice: Where are we now? *Annu. Rev. Anthropol.*, *36*(1), 301-336.

Child, B., & Barnes, G. (2010). The conceptual evolution and practice of community-based natural resource management in southern Africa: past, present and future. *Environmental Conservation*, *37*(3), 283-295.

FAO. (2024). The State of the World's Forests 2024–Forest-sector innovations towards a more sustainable future.

Forest Act Number 4 of 2015. https://www.parliament.gov.zm/sites/default/files/documents/acts/The%20Forest%20Act%202015.pdf

Kalinda, T., Bwalya, S., Mulolwa, A., & Haantuba, H. (2008). Use of integrated land use assessment (ILUA) data for forestry and agricultural policy review and analysis in Zambia. Report prepared for the Forestry Management and Planning Unit of the Department of Forestry, FAO, and the Zambian Forestry Department, Ministry of Tourism, Environment, and Natural Resource Management. Lusaka, Zambia, 119.

Priebe, J., Hallberg-Sramek, I., Reimerson, E., & Mårald, E. (2023). The spectrum of knowledge: integrating knowledge dimensions in the context of forests and climate change. Sustainability Science, 18(3), 1329-1341.

Yanou, M. P., Ros-Tonen, M. A., Reed, J., Nakwenda, S., & Sunderland, T. (2024). The Hybridisation, Resilience, and Loss of Local Knowledge and Natural Resource Management in Zambia. *Human Ecology*, *52*(5), 1087-1105.

Jessen, T. D., Ban, N. C., Claxton, N. X., & Darimont, C. T. (2022). Contributions of Indigenous Knowledge to ecological and evolutionary understanding. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *20*(2), 93-101.

Yanou, M. P., Ros-Tonen, M. A., Reed, J., Nakwenda, S., & Sunderland, T. (2024). The Hybridisation, Resilience, and Loss of Local Knowledge and Natural Resource Management in Zambia. *Human Ecology*, *52*(5), 1087-1105.

Uyttewaal, K., Stoof, C. R., Canaleta, G., Cifre-Sabater, M., Langer, E. R., Ludwig, F., ... & Prat-Guitart, N. (2024). Uplifting local ecological knowledge as part of adaptation pathways to wildfire risk reduction: A case study in Montseny, Catalonia (Spain). *Ambio*, *53*(10), 1433-1453.

Zambia National Forest policy (2014); http://zambia-weekly.com/article/new-forestry-policy/